### Remarks

Claims 1-26 are pending in the present application. By this reply, claims 22-26 have been added. Claims 1, 8, 11, 13, 16 and 23 are independent.

## **Title of the Invention**

At the Examiner's request, the title has been amended in accordance with the Examiner's suggestion.

### Allowable Subject Matter

Applicants acknowledge the Examiner's indication that claims 13-21 are allowed over the prior art of record.

Further, claims 5 and 11 have been objected to, but allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Accordingly, claim 11 has been rewritten in independent form. Therefore, claim 11 is allowable over the prior art of record.

# 35 U.S.C. § 103 Rejection

Claims 8 and 9 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huh et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,307,216) in view of Yang (U.S. Patent No. 4,855,724). Claims 1-3 and 7 have been rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Huh et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,307,216) in view of Yang (U.S. Patent No. 4,855,724) as applied to claims 8 and 9

above, and further in view of Won (U.S. Patent No. 5,400,157). Claims 4 and 10 have been

rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103 as being unpatentable over either Huh in view of Yang in view

of Won as applied to claim 1 above, or Huh in view of Yang as applied to claim 8 above, and

further in view of Greene et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6,496,238). Claims 6 and 12 have been rejected

under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) over Huh in view of Yang in view of Won as applied to claim 1 above,

or Huh in view of Yang as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Oh (U.S. Patent No.

5,694,185). These rejections, insofar as they pertain to the presently pending claims, are

respectfully traversed.

Without acquesing to any of the Examiner's allegations made in rejecting these claims

and only to advance prosecution, independent claim 1 has been amended to require that "TFTs

for driving the green pixel electrodes and TFTs for driving the blue pixel electrodes are formed

in the blue pixel regions", and independent claim 8 has been amended to require that "TFTs for

driving first-color pixel electrodes and TFTs for driving second-color pixel electrodes are formed

in the second-color pixel regions". These features correspond to the allowable subject matter

indicated in the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance set forth on page 6 of the last

Office Action, and are clearly not taught or suggested by Figure 1 of Huh et al. For example,

each of Huh's pixel regions has a single TFT as shown in FIG. 1.

Accordingly, independent claims 1 and 8 and their dependent claims (due to their

dependency) are patentable over the applied references. Thus, the rejections are improper and

must be withdrawn.

### **New Claims**

Claim 22 depends from claim 8 and is thus allowable at least for the same reasons that claim 8 is allowable as discussed above. Independent claim 23 contains subject matter similar to the allowable subject matter indicated by the Examiner in the Examiner's Statement of Reasons for Allowance and is thus believed to be allowable over the prior art of record. Claims 24-26 are allowable as being dependent on claim 23. Accordingly, indication of allowance of new claims 22-26 is respectfully requested.

### **Conclusion**

For the foregoing reasons and in view of the above clarifying amendments, the Examiner is respectfully requested to reconsider and withdraw all of the objections and rejections of record, and to provide an early issuance of a Notice of Allowance.

Should there be any outstanding matters which need to be resolved in the present application, the Examiner is respectfully requested to contact Esther H. Chong (Registration No. 40,953) at the telephone number of the undersigned below, to conduct an interview in an effort to expedite prosecution in connection with the present application.

If necessary, the Commissioner is hereby authorized in this, concurrent, and further replies, to charge payment or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. 02-2448 for any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. § 1.16 or under 37 C.F.R. § 1.17; particularly, extension of time fees.

Respectfully submitted,

BIRCH, STEWART, KOLASCH & BIRCH, LLP

Esther H. Chong, #40,953

P.O. Box 747 Falls Church, VA 22040-0747 (703) 205-8000

EHC:sld